

THE STATA JOURNAL

Editors

H. JOSEPH NEWTON
Department of Statistics
Texas A&M University
College Station, Texas
editors@stata-journal.com

NICHOLAS J. COX
Department of Geography
Durham University
Durham, UK
editors@stata-journal.com

Associate Editors

CHRISTOPHER F. BAUM, Boston College
NATHANIEL BECK, New York University
RINO BELLOCCO, Karolinska Institutet, Sweden, and
University of Milano-Bicocca, Italy
MAARTEN L. BUIS, University of Konstanz, Germany
A. COLIN CAMERON, University of California–Davis
MARIO A. CLEVES, University of South Florida
MICHAEL CROWTHER, Karolinska Institutet, Sweden
WILLIAM D. DUPONT, Vanderbilt University
PHILIP ENDER, University of California–Los Angeles
JAMES HARDIN, University of South Carolina
BEN JANN, University of Bern, Switzerland
STEPHEN JENKINS, London School of Economics and
Political Science
ULRICH KOHLER, University of Potsdam, Germany
FRAUKE KREUTER, Univ. of Maryland–College Park

PETER A. LACHENBRUCH, Oregon State University
STANLEY LEMESHOW, Ohio State University
J. SCOTT LONG, Indiana University
ROGER NEWSON, Imperial College, London
AUSTIN NICHOLS, Abt Associates, Washington, DC
MARCELLO PAGANO, Harvard School of Public Health
SOPHIA RABE-HESKETH, Univ. of California–Berkeley
J. PATRICK ROYSTON, MRC CTU at UCL, London, UK
MARK E. SCHAFFER, Heriot-Watt Univ., Edinburgh
PHILIPPE VAN KERM, LISER, Luxembourg
VINCENZO VERARDI, Université Libre de Bruxelles,
Belgium
IAN WHITE, MRC CTU at UCL, London, UK
RICHARD A. WILLIAMS, University of Notre Dame
JEFFREY WOOLDRIDGE, Michigan State University

Stata Press Editorial Manager

LISA GILMORE

Stata Press Copy Editors

ADAM CRAWLEY, DAVID CULWELL, and DEIRDRE SKAGGS

The *Stata Journal* publishes reviewed papers together with shorter notes or comments, regular columns, book reviews, and other material of interest to Stata users. Examples of the types of papers include 1) expository papers that link the use of Stata commands or programs to associated principles, such as those that will serve as tutorials for users first encountering a new field of statistics or a major new technique; 2) papers that go “beyond the Stata manual” in explaining key features or uses of Stata that are of interest to intermediate or advanced users of Stata; 3) papers that discuss new commands or Stata programs of interest either to a wide spectrum of users (e.g., in data management or graphics) or to some large segment of Stata users (e.g., in survey statistics, survival analysis, panel analysis, or limited dependent variable modeling); 4) papers analyzing the statistical properties of new or existing estimators and tests in Stata; 5) papers that could be of interest or usefulness to researchers, especially in fields that are of practical importance but are not often included in texts or other journals, such as the use of Stata in managing datasets, especially large datasets, with advice from hard-won experience; and 6) papers of interest to those who teach, including Stata with topics such as extended examples of techniques and interpretation of results, simulations of statistical concepts, and overviews of subject areas.

The *Stata Journal* is indexed and abstracted by *CompuMath Citation Index*, *Current Contents/Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *RePEc: Research Papers in Economics*, *Science Citation Index Expanded* (also known as *SciSearch*), *Scopus*, and *Social Sciences Citation Index*.

For more information on the *Stata Journal*, including information for authors, see the webpage

<http://www.stata-journal.com>

Subscriptions are available from SAGE Publishing via telephone 805-499-9774 (U.S. customers) or 44-(0)20-73248701 (International), email journals@sagepub.com, or online at

<https://journals.sagepub.com/home/stj>



Copyright © 2021 by StataCorp LLC

Copyright Statement: The *Stata Journal* and the contents of the supporting files (programs, datasets, and help files) are copyright © by StataCorp LLC. The contents of the supporting files (programs, datasets, and help files) may be copied or reproduced by any means whatsoever, in whole or in part, as long as any copy or reproduction includes attribution to both (1) the author and (2) the *Stata Journal*.

The articles appearing in the *Stata Journal* may be copied or reproduced as printed copies, in whole or in part, as long as any copy or reproduction includes attribution to both (1) the author and (2) the *Stata Journal*.

Written permission must be obtained from StataCorp if you wish to make electronic copies of the insertions. This precludes placing electronic copies of the *Stata Journal*, in whole or in part, on publicly accessible websites, file servers, or other locations where the copy may be accessed by anyone other than the subscriber.

Users of any of the software, ideas, data, or other materials published in the *Stata Journal* or the supporting files understand that such use is made without warranty of any kind, by either the *Stata Journal*, the author, or StataCorp. In particular, there is no warranty of fitness of purpose or merchantability, nor for special, incidental, or consequential damages such as loss of profits. The purpose of the *Stata Journal* is to promote free communication among Stata users.

The *Stata Journal* (ISSN 1536-867X) is a quarterly journal of Stata Press and is published by SAGE Publishing in association with StataCorp LLC. Stata, **STATA**, Stata Press, Mata, **MATA**, and NetCourse are registered trademarks of StataCorp LLC.

Review of Michael N. Mitchell’s *Interpreting and Visualizing Regression Models Using Stata*, Second Edition

Angela MacIsaac
Lakehead University
Thunder Bay, Canada
amacisaa@lakeheadu.ca

Bruce Weaver
Lakehead University and
Northern Ontario School of Medicine
Thunder Bay, Canada
bweaver@lakeheadu.ca

Abstract. In this article, we review *Interpreting and Visualizing Regression Models Using Stata, Second Edition*, by Michael N. Mitchell (2021, Stata Press).

Keywords: gn0089, book review, Stata, regression

1 Introduction

In 2016, for the first time, the second author taught a 12-week master’s-level statistics course for psychology students, and he used the first (2012) edition of Michael N. Mitchell’s *Interpreting and Visualizing Regression Models Using Stata* (IVRMUS-1) as the primary textbook. Because many students would likely use some type of regression modeling for their thesis project, the topics covered in IVRMUS-1 seemed to be a good fit for the course, providing students with a practical grounding in ordinary least-squares (OLS) linear models but also introducing them to some other types of models. In 2019, the first author was a student in that same course. We hope that by collaborating on this book review, we will manage to bring not just an instructor’s perspective, which is typical in such reviews, but also a student’s perspective, which we believe is valuable but less often considered.

2 Content

Mitchell opens the preface to the second edition of IVRMUS (which we denote IVRMUS-2) by commenting on some changes that arose from his use of Stata 16.1 in the second edition; he used Stata 12.1 in the first edition. He notes, for example, that when results for the levels of a factor variable are displayed, value labels are shown rather than values (for example, Unmarried versus Married rather than 1 versus 2). He also comments on the availability of new small-sample options for the `mixed` and `contrast` commands. He then reaffirms his motivation for writing the book:

As with the first edition, I hope the examples shown in this book help you understand the results of your regression models so you can interpret and present them with clarity and confidence.

In the introductory chapter that follows, Mitchell draws a distinction between the teaching approach in his book (he calls it a “discovery learning perspective”) and the way one should approach research. He specifically cautions readers that his approach might seem to endorse some “bad research habits”: 1) letting the pattern of the data guide the analysis; 2) dissecting the results in every possible way; and 3) having no concern for the overall type I error rate. (We shall say more later about these bad habits.)

Mitchell then describes the datasets that he used, especially the General Social Survey dataset, which he uses most frequently. Four variables appear most often in his examples: income, age, years of education, and gender. For each variable, he gives some insight into analytic choices made in later chapters (for example, including the option `vce(robust)` when using `regress` to model income because income is positively skewed). Four other types of datasets are used in the book (for example, the school datasets used to illustrate multilevel modeling).

Although Mitchell does not say so explicitly in his preface, the commands `margins`, `marginsplot`, and `contrast` are once again the real workhorses of IVRMUS-2, as they were in the first edition. The `pwcompare` and `lincom` commands play important secondary roles.

2.1 Part I: OLS models with continuous explanatory variables

Chapter 2 introduces readers to the `margins` and `marginsplot` commands in the context of the simple linear regression model. It then moves on to multiple linear regression models and includes examples showing how to get fitted values of Y (or adjusted means, as Mitchell calls them) at selected values of the explanatory variables. It also discusses various ways to check for nonlinearity, both graphically and analytically.

Chapter 3 shows readers how to include quadratic and cubic terms in OLS models and also introduces the `fp` prefix command for fitting fractional polynomial models. On the latter, Mitchell cautions readers to be wary of overfitting whenever they “let the computer perform automatic modeling” and recommends some kind of cross-validation in that case.

Chapter 4 introduces the `mk spline` command and piecewise regression models. It includes examples of the following types of piecewise models:

- One known knot, no jump
- Two known knots, no jumps
- One known knot with a jump
- Two known knots with two jumps
- One unknown knot
- Multiple unknown knots

Mitchell also introduces the `lincom` command in this chapter, showing how it can be used to estimate the change in slope at a knot (when individual slope coding is used) or to estimate the slope within a certain segment (if change in slope coding is used). He concludes the chapter by showing how to use `margins` and `marginsplot` with piecewise models and offers some suggestions for automating graphs of piecewise models.

Chapters 5 and 6 discuss two-way and three-way interactions involving continuous (or quantitative) variables only. Examples of the following types of interactions are included:

- Linear \times linear
- Linear \times quadratic
- Linear \times linear \times linear

In these chapters, Mitchell also shows readers how they can easily obtain simple slopes for one variable at selected values of another variable (or at selected combinations of values in higher-order interactions) via the `dydx()` option for `margins`.

2.2 Part II: OLS models with categorical explanatory variables

In part II, the emphasis is on interpreting and visualizing results pertaining to categorical explanatory variables. However, many of the models fit do include continuous covariates—age, for example.

Chapter 7 starts with an unpaired t test but then quickly moves on to fit a model including two categorical explanatory variables (marital status and gender) and one continuous covariate (age) by using the `anova` command. Mitchell issues a basic `margins` command to show adjusted means for the five marital status categories. He follows that with another `margins` command using the `r.` contrast operator to produce all pairwise contrasts with the reference group, married.

Next he introduces the `contrast` command and shows how to generate several kinds of contrast:

- Reference group contrasts (`r.` operator)
- Grand mean contrasts (`g.` operator)
- Adjacent contrasts (`a.` operator)
- Reverse-adjacent contrasts (`ar.` operator)
- Helmert contrasts (`h.` operator)
- Reverse Helmert contrasts (`j.` operator)
- Polynomial contrasts (`p.` and `q.` operators)

- Custom contrasts
- Weighted contrasts (`gw.`, `hw.`, `jw.`, `pw.`, and `qw.` operators)

The chapter ends with examples of pairwise comparisons obtained via `pwcompare` and with a section showing how one can fit the same OLS model by using either `anova` or `regress`.

Table 7.1 is a highlight of chapter 7. It lists all the contrast operators along with descriptions of what they do and the chapter sections in which they are illustrated. The second author of this review has bookmarked that page.

Chapter 8 examines models with 2×2 , 2×3 , and 3×3 interactions. For 2×2 models, Mitchell shows how to estimate the “size” of the interaction (that is, the difference in differences). For the models with 2×3 and 3×3 interactions, he shows how to examine simple effects, simple contrasts, partial interactions, and interaction contrasts. The initial examples have balanced designs, but Mitchell also discusses unbalanced designs in section 8.5. In section 8.6, he shows that the 2×2 ANOVA fit earlier in the chapter using `anova` can also be fit using `regress`. But he takes pains to explain to readers that the coefficients in the `regress` output that look like main effects of the two variables are actually simple main effects.

Chapter 9 examines $2 \times 2 \times 2$, $2 \times 2 \times 3$, and $3 \times 3 \times 3$ models. It shows readers how to examine simple interactions, simple effects, simple contrasts, partial interactions, and interaction contrasts.

2.3 Part III: OLS models with both continuous and categorical explanatory variables

Chapter 10 describes linear by categorical interactions. It starts with a first-order-effects-only model in which the two focal variables are age and college graduation status (1 = yes, 0 = no). Mitchell demonstrates how `margins` can be used to obtain both adjusted and unadjusted means, the latter by using the `over()` option. Next this model is extended to include the interaction between the two focal predictors. Mitchell uses `margins` to obtain adjusted means at selected values, as well as simple slopes for the continuous variable at each level of the categorical variable. He shows how to examine simple contrasts by adding the `contrast` option to the `margins` command. He then extends these methods to interactions between a continuous and three-level categorical variable, including testing the overall interaction size by using the `contrast` command and exploring partial interactions.

Chapter 11 builds on chapters 3 and 10 to explore polynomial by categorical interactions. Mitchell illustrates a quadratic by two-level categorical variable interaction, a quadratic by three-level categorical variable interaction, and a cubic by two-level categorical variable interaction. In each section, he begins by using a `lowess` smoother to determine whether such an interaction is needed. He again uses `contrast`, `margins`, and `marginsplot` to test the overall interaction effect, compute and compare the adjusted means, and plot the contrasts.

Chapter 12 expands on chapters 4 and 10 to explore piecewise by categorical interactions. Mitchell gives one-knot and two-knot examples, using gender as the categorical variable. He shows how to make various comparisons, including between- and within-gender comparisons of slopes, changes in slopes at knots, and changes in intercepts at knots. Adjusted means are also computed, with suggestions for automating graphs. (Here readers should see chapter 4 for a reminder of why the `marginsplot` command is not applicable.) Mitchell then devotes a section to four different coding schemes for these models.

Chapter 13 discusses two kinds of three-way interactions: linear by linear by categorical and linear by quadratic by categorical. Mitchell initially approaches these complex interactions by fitting separate regression models for each level of the categorical variable using `by, sort:`. He then bridges this with the full regression model with the complete interaction term. He shows how to visualize and interpret the interaction by using `margins`, `contrast`, and `marginsplot`.

Chapter 14 examines categorical by categorical by continuous interactions. Here Mitchell interprets these interactions in terms of how the slope of the continuous variable varies, depending on the interaction of the two categorical variables. He forms contrasts with respect to this slope, examining simple effects, simple contrasts, and partial interactions.

2.4 Part IV: Some other types of models

In part IV, Mitchell introduces multilevel models, logistic regression, Poisson regression, and use of the `svy` prefix command to analyze complex survey data.

Chapter 15 covers multilevel models, wherein Mitchell introduces the `mixed` command. Mitchell's primary focus is showing how cross-level interactions can be interpreted in the same way as the interactions covered in earlier chapters. An example is given for each of the following interactions:

- Continuous by continuous
- Continuous (level-1 variable) by categorical (level-2 variable)
- Categorical (level-1 variable) by continuous (level-2 variable)
- Categorical by categorical

Chapter 16 covers longitudinal models with time as a continuous predictor. Mitchell acknowledges that several different approaches can be used to analyze longitudinal data, but as in chapter 15 he focuses strictly on multilevel models. He uses the `xtreg` command to fit a random-intercept model with time as the sole predictor, and then he uses the `mixed` command to fit a random-coefficients model. He also discusses modeling time in a piecewise manner whenever a baseline period precedes a treatment period. A focus of this chapter is examining cross-level interactions between treatment group and time, both linearly and piecewise. For the piecewise models, he shows how to compare a variety of values as a function of the treatment group, such as baseline slopes, jumps in outcome, changes in slope at the knot, and predicted means at different times.

Chapter 17 covers multilevel models for longitudinal data with time as a categorical variable. Mitchell includes examples of time as the sole fixed effect, interacted with a two-level group variable, and interacted with a three-level group variable. The interactions are interpreted using techniques similar to those in earlier chapters on categorical interactions, such as partial interactions. Mitchell also discusses how to choose a residual covariance structure. New to this edition, the last section covers adjustment for small samples via the `dfmethod()` and `small` options. For `dfmethod()`, one can choose between the Kenward–Roger and Satterthwaite methods.

Chapter 18 covers nonlinear models, including logistic, multinomial logistic, ordinal logistic, and Poisson models. Mitchell shows how the `contrast`, `pwcompare`, `margins`, and `marginsplot` commands apply to these cases. He also shows how to interpret 2×2 interactions for binomial logistic regression and how to fit a piecewise model with a binary outcome. Throughout this chapter, the natural metric of the model, such as log odds, is compared with those of linear models. Other metrics like probabilities can be chosen to ease interpretation, but they may be differentially affected by covariate values.

Chapter 19 covers complex survey data. Using the `svy` prefix, Mitchell shows how `margins`, `contrast`, and `pwcompare` can be used to compute estimates adjusted for the survey design.

2.5 Part V: The appendices

Five appendices cover additional options, features, and ways to customize the output of focal commands covered in the book, including estimation commands `margins`, `contrast`, `pwcompare`, and `marginsplot`.

3 Suggestions for the future

We believe that Mitchell has accomplished what he set out to do. He has provided many examples of typical regression problems that arise in research and shown how to visualize and interpret the results. Nevertheless, we do have some suggestions about how to improve any future edition, starting with some suggestions related to Stata code.

3.1 Stata code

Our first suggestion is addressed to the wider Stata community, not just to Mitchell. It is conventional in Stata documentation and in books about Stata to show code that is copied from the output window rather than to show the code such as one might see in a do-file. Mitchell follows this convention. In most cases, it does not cause undue problems, but consider this example on page 76:

```
. forvalues age = 20(10)80 {
2. local age1 = `age'^-2
3. local age2 = `age'
4. quietly margins, at(age_1 = `age1' age_2 = `age2')
5. matrix am = r(b)
6. local adjmean = am[1,1]
7. display "Adjusted mean for age = `age': " `adjmean'
8. }
```

In our experience, students who are new to Stata transcribe the code as shown and are perplexed when it does not run (given the line numbers that are included in the output). This problem could be avoided if Mitchell showed do-file code instead. Presumably, it looks something like this:

```
forvalues age = 20(10)80 {
local age1 = `age'^-2
local age2 = `age'
quietly margins, at(age_1 = `age1' age_2 = `age2')
matrix am = r(b)
local adjmean = am[1,1]
display "Adjusted mean for age = `age': " `adjmean'
}
```

A second suggestion about code is to show readers how to use the `///` continuation line indicator to split long commands over multiple lines. This would be particularly helpful for `margins` commands that have multiple `at()` clauses. On page 104, Mitchell shows this `margins` command:

```
. margins, at(ed1m=0 ed2m=0 hsgrad=0)
> at(ed1m=12 ed2m=0 hsgrad=0)
> at(ed1m=12 ed2m=0 hsgrad=1)
> at(ed1m=12 ed2m=8 hsgrad=1) vsquish
```

We applaud the vertical alignment of the `at()` clauses. But readers who transcribe this command as shown will find that it does not work. We suggest that it be shown as follows:

```
margins, //
at(ed1m=0 ed2m=0 hsgrad=0) //
at(ed1m=12 ed2m=0 hsgrad=0) //
at(ed1m=12 ed2m=0 hsgrad=1) //
at(ed1m=12 ed2m=8 hsgrad=1) vsquish
```

Finally, we offer three more minor suggestions.

1. Include the `clear` option when opening data files.
2. Rather than writing (*output omitted*), use the `quietly` prefix command.
3. Show readers how to use the `ciopts` option to lighten the shaded confidence region when using the `rarea` option for `marginsplot`. We often include this option to make the shaded confidence region 40% as intense as the default setting: `ciopts(color(*.4))`.

3.2 The `ibn` and `noconstant` options

In chapters 11 to 13, Mitchell frequently uses the `ibn` prefix together with the option `noconstant` to obtain estimates of the intercept for each group. Although we prefer the more conventional approach of including a constant, we have no strong objection to Mitchell's approach. However, we suggest spelling out to readers that his coding of the model results in an inflated R^2 value that cannot be interpreted in the usual manner (that is, as the proportion of variance accounted for by the model). Mitchell skirts this issue in chapter 12 (section 12.4), where he shows four different coding schemes for the same model. Schemes 1 and 2 use `ibn` with `noconstant`, and schemes 3 and 4 use the more conventional approach (that is, they include the constant). But Mitchell uses the `noheader` option in all cases, thus obscuring the fact that the R^2 values are inflated for schemes 1 and 2. We fear that in the absence of a comment about how `ibn` and `noconstant` affect R^2 , some readers who decide to use that coding scheme for their own data may inadvertently misinterpret the R^2 value shown in their output.

Additionally, when `ibn` and `noconstant` are used throughout chapters 11–13, lower-order terms appear to be missing from models that contain higher-order effects. We were puzzled by this at first, especially considering Mitchell's warnings in earlier chapters (for example, chapter 3) to always include lower-order effects in models with interactions or polynomial terms. We reran some of the models in these later chapters, this time spelling out all lower-order terms and using the more conventional approach (that is, omitting `ibn` and `noconstant`). Here is our version of the example in section 13.2.2:

```
regress realrinc i.female#c.age#c.educ i.race, vce(robust) vsquish
```

Linear regression

```
Number of obs   =   22,367
F(9, 22357)     =   415.72
Prob > F        =   0.0000
R-squared       =   0.1804
Root MSE       =   24543
```

realrinc	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
female						
Female	-8023.789	9113.465	-0.88	0.379	-25886.82	9839.242
age	-1116.239	195.4295	-5.71	0.000	-1499.294	-733.1832
female#c.age						
Female	212.7413	251.8129	0.84	0.398	-280.8296	706.3121
educ	-1929.04	526.0811	-3.67	0.000	-2960.196	-897.8838
female#c.educ						
Female	865.4909	678.2291	1.28	0.202	-463.8856	2194.867
c.age#c.educ	136.0001	14.34342	9.48	0.000	107.886	164.1142
female#c.age#c.educ						
Female	-46.48377	18.706	-2.48	0.013	-83.14885	-9.818699
race						
black	-3067.109	305.0699	-10.05	0.000	-3665.067	-2469.151
other	493.0117	1192.162	0.41	0.679	-1843.71	2829.733
_cons	27044.09	7125.42	3.80	0.000	13077.77	41010.42

Compare this with Mitchell's syntax and output for this example, repeated again here:

```
regress realrinc ibn.female ibn.female#(c.age c.educ c.age#c.educ) ///
i.race, vce(robust) noconstant vsquish
```

Linear regression

```
Number of obs   =   22,367
F(10, 22357)    =   3126.19
Prob > F        =   0.0000
R-squared       =   0.5339
Root MSE       =   24543
```

realrinc	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
female						
Male	27044.09	7125.42	3.80	0.000	13077.77	41010.42
Female	19020.31	5695.437	3.34	0.001	7856.849	30183.76
female#c.age						
Male	-1116.239	195.4295	-5.71	0.000	-1499.294	-733.1832
Female	-903.4975	158.7596	-5.69	0.000	-1214.677	-592.3176
female#c.educ						
Male	-1929.04	526.0811	-3.67	0.000	-2960.196	-897.8838
Female	-1063.549	428.2508	-2.48	0.013	-1902.95	-224.1472
female#c.age#c.educ						
Male	136.0001	14.34342	9.48	0.000	107.886	164.1142
Female	89.51634	12.00574	7.46	0.000	65.98424	113.0484
race						
white	0 (base)					
black	-3067.109	305.0699	-10.05	0.000	-3665.067	-2469.151
other	493.0117	1192.162	0.41	0.679	-1843.71	2829.733

The lower-order effect for `age` does appear in Mitchell’s output, but it appears as the coefficient for `Male` under the `female#c.age` interaction. The same pattern occurs for other lower-order effects. This is because the lower-order effect of age is fit separately for male and female individuals. Essentially, all coefficients for lower-order terms will appear as coefficients for that term interacted with `female` in Mitchell’s model (as the base level). Thus, despite initial appearances, the lower-order effects are present in the model. Also note, as mentioned earlier, the inflated R^2 in Mitchell’s model ($R^2 = 0.5339$) compared with our model ($R^2 = 0.1804$).

Overall, we would suggest comparing the output of both approaches. It may also be helpful to direct the reader to more information on how this coding affects the regression output (for example, Higbee [2009]). One quick sentence is devoted to explaining the function of `ibn` and `noconstant` in chapter 11, but its use throughout chapters 11–13 left us wondering why it was applied to those particular topics and in which other cases this coding scheme might be beneficial.

3.3 Terminology

Imagine a regression model with explanatory variables A and B , as well as their product, $A \times B$. In such a model, Mitchell frequently refers to the coefficients for A and B as showing the main effects of those variables. We suggest that the term “main effect” should be reserved for ANOVA models, where the main effect of A is the effect of A when one collapses across the levels of B . In a regression model, on the other hand, the coefficient for A shows the simple effect of A when $B = 0$ (or its reference category if it is categorical). To be fair, Mitchell does explain this to readers. Nevertheless, in the context of regression models, we recommend describing the coefficients for A and B as the first-order effects of A and B to avoid this potential confusion.

We also suggest that it could be helpful if Mitchell briefly mentioned the terms “moderated multiple regression” and “effect modification”. The latter term is commonly used in epidemiology and medical research, and the former term is commonly used in psychology and related fields. This might seem like a trivial suggestion, but it is motivated by the fact that a psychology student who had worked through all the examples in IVRMUS-1 believed that he had never fit a moderated multiple regression model. It is not difficult to imagine that an epidemiology student might similarly believe that she had never fit a model that addressed a question about effect modification.

In the same vein, the literature on moderation would likely describe the approach Mitchell takes to interpreting interactions as the pick-a-point, simple-slopes, or spotlight-analysis approach and contrast it to other approaches like the Johnson–Neyman technique. As with the relationship between the terms “moderation” and “interaction”, it might be worthwhile to point out that many of the examples in IVRMUS-2 are analogous to the pick-a-point approach readers might see elsewhere. Additionally, Mitchell’s examples can be easily extended to create what otherwise might be referred to as a Johnson–Neyman plot. For instance, chapter 5 explores an interaction between age and education (both continuous variables). The code below computes and graphs the

slope of education for every value of age, highlighting the regions where the slope is statistically significant.

```
quietly margins, dydx(educ) at(age=(20(1)80))
marginsplot, recast(line) recastci(rarea) ciopts(color(*.4)) yline(0)
```

3.4 Reminding the reader about bad research habits

We find the discussion of three bad research habits (section 1.1—*Read me first*) vitally important. Otherwise, one might blindly follow Mitchell’s examples in each chapter until some sort of statistical significance is achieved. Students still learning about best research practices might be more prone to this, and as such it would be a good idea to flag these habits throughout the text. One way this is already done is when Mitchell uses the `mcompare()` option within the `pwcompare` command, which adjusts for multiple comparisons and avoids bad research habit #3. This is briefly shown a couple of times; however, it would have been helpful to note how this option can also be used with the `contrast` command. To demonstrate avoiding bad research habits #1 and #2, it might be helpful to introduce an independent hypothesis before each new application of a command. Indeed, Mitchell sometimes does this, and there is only so much space. We simply fear that it might be easy to miss section 1.1.

3.5 Choosing values when probing interactions

When Mitchell interprets the effect of one predictor at specified values of another continuous predictor, the chosen values might seem randomly selected for the purpose of illustrating the example. Because readers might wonder which values to choose for their own analysis without conducting too many tests, it might be worth mentioning in IVRMUS-2 that often the choice of values to probe can be arbitrary, and this is sometimes noted as a drawback of the approach. Further, in these situations researchers sometimes choose to probe at the mean or certain percentiles (as recommended, for instance, by Hayes [2018], although sometimes no less arbitrary). Considering this, it would be worthwhile to use `at(p(#))` for the `at()` option within the `margins` command more often because this is only done in chapter 18. This allows selecting values at certain percentiles.

3.6 Further explanations

Mitchell uses the `mixed` command for multilevel models throughout chapter 15, but he briefly switches to the `xtreg` command for one random-intercept multilevel model in chapter 16 without much introduction to the command. He then uses `mixed` again for the rest of the chapter. We think it would be helpful to add an explanation of why `xtreg` was appropriate for the example and how it compares with using the `mixed` command. Readers might not be familiar with `xtreg` and may wonder whether they should use `xtreg` or `mixed` for their multilevel model questions.

Finally, Mitchell uses the `marginal` option in his demonstration of various coding schemes in chapter 12.4. Because this is the first time the `marginal` option is used after chapter 4, we suggest adding a sentence on page 368 reminding readers that the `marginal` option is used for change in slope coding or referring them to chapter 4. This would help with understanding some of the differences between coding schemes.

3.7 Topics to add (or remove)

As noted above, we have used IVRMUS in a master’s-level statistics course for psychology students. In psychology and many other fields (for example, epidemiology), the hierarchical approach to building a regression model is quite popular. With that in mind, we believe that IVRMUS-2 would benefit from an example or two showing readers how to use the `nestreg` prefix command.

Mediation analysis is also increasingly common in both psychology and epidemiology. It would be good, therefore, to have a short chapter showing how one can fit regression models via `sem` and how one can use `sem` to fit mediation models. In our view, this would be more helpful to students and researchers in psychology than the current chapter 19 (on complex survey data).

4 Conclusion

As we noted earlier, Mitchell hoped that the examples shown in IVRMUS-2 would help readers understand the results of their own regression models and enable them to “interpret and present [their results] with clarity and confidence”. As our review shows, we do have some criticisms of the way Mitchell approached certain things, some suggestions for further aiding reader understanding, and some topics we would wish to see included in any future edition. But despite that, the second author has never regretted adopting IVRMUS-2 as the primary textbook for the master’s-level statistics course he recently taught for the fifth time. And the first author will continue to refer to IVRMUS-2 throughout her doctoral education and for years to come. In sum, we believe that Mitchell has achieved his objective admirably.

5 References

- Hayes, A. F. 2018. *Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis: A Regression-Based Approach*. 2nd ed. New York: Guilford Press.
- Higbee, K. 2009. FAQ: Keeping all levels of a variable in the model. <https://www.stata.com/support/faqs/statistics/keep-all-levels-of-variable/>.
- Mitchell, M. N. 2012. *Interpreting and Visualizing Regression Models Using Stata*. College Station, TX: Stata Press.
- . 2021. *Interpreting and Visualizing Regression Models Using Stata*. 2nd ed. College Station, TX: Stata Press.

About the authors

Angela MacIsaac is a PhD student in clinical psychology at Lakehead University. She has used Stata and IVRMUS for many of her research project analyses, both during her master's degree and now in her PhD.

Bruce Weaver is an assistant professor of biostatistics at the Northern Ontario School of Medicine and a research associate in the Centre for Research on Safe Driving at Lakehead University. He also teaches statistics courses in the Departments of Psychology and Health Sciences at Lakehead University.